



GIG
CYMRU
NHS
WALES

Bwrdd Iechyd Prifysgol
Aneurin Bevan
University Health Board

Freedom of Information Request	FOI 21-333	2 nd September 2021
--------------------------------	------------	--------------------------------

It is alleged most email recipients are in serious breach of all ten points of the NUREMBERG CODE and urgently request all revert from all etc forthwith, alternatively if all are prepared to accept imminent penalties breaching of the code carries, so be it.

The ten points of the Nuremberg Code

The ten points of the code were given in the section of the judges' [verdict](#) entitled "Permissible Medical Experiments":^[6]

- 1. The voluntary consent of the human subject is absolutely essential. This means that the person involved should have legal capacity to give consent; should be so situated as to be able to exercise free power of choice, without the intervention of any element of force, fraud, deceit, duress, overreaching, or other ulterior form of constraint or coercion; and should have sufficient knowledge and comprehension of the elements of the subject matter involved as to enable him to make an understanding and enlightened decision. This latter element requires that before the acceptance of an affirmative decision by the experimental subject there should be made known to him the nature, duration, and purpose of the experiment; the method and means by which it is to be conducted; all inconveniences and hazards reasonably to be expected; and the effects upon his health or person which may possibly come from his participation in the experiment. The duty and responsibility for ascertaining the quality of the consent rests upon each individual who initiates, directs, or engages in the experiment. It is a personal duty and responsibility which may not be delegated to another with impunity.^[13]**
- 2. The experiment should be such as to yield fruitful results for the good of society, unprocurable by other methods or means of study, and not random and unnecessary in nature.**
- 3. The experiment should be so designed and based on the results of animal experimentation and a knowledge of the natural history of the disease or other problem under study that the anticipated results will justify the performance of the experiment.**
- 4. The experiment should be so conducted as to avoid all unnecessary physical and mental suffering and injury.**
- 5. No experiment should be conducted where there is an *a priori* reason to believe that death or disabling injury will occur; except, perhaps, in those experiments where the experimental physicians also serve as subjects.**
- 6. The degree of risk to be taken should never exceed that determined by the humanitarian importance of the problem to be solved by the experiment.**

- 7. Proper preparations should be made and adequate facilities provided to protect the experimental subject against even remote possibilities of injury, disability, or death.**
- 8. The experiment should be conducted only by scientifically qualified persons. The highest degree of skill and care should be required through all stages of the experiment of those who conduct or engage in the experiment.**
- 9. During the course of the experiment the human subject should be at liberty to bring the experiment to an end if he has reached the physical or mental state where continuation of the experiment seems to him to be impossible.**
- 10. During the course of the experiment the scientist in charge must be prepared to terminate the experiment at any stage, if he has probable cause to believe, in the exercise of the good faith, superior skill and careful judgment required of him that a continuation of the experiment is likely to result in injury, disability, or death to the experimental subject.**

<https://committees.parliament.uk/writtenevidence/2267/pdf/>

The Health Board took part in the Oxford Astra Zeneca Vaccine Trial. The trial was set up and delivered in compliance with all necessary legislation and had full ethical approval. As with all clinical trials all participants provided fully informed consent.

In terms of the role out of the vaccine and whilst clinical trials continue, the MHRA provided a license for the vaccine to be rolled out. You may wish to contact the MHRA via the following link for further clarity

<https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/mhra-requests-under-the-freedom-of-information-act-foia>

Also, please prove a realistic reason PCR test are performed at 45Ct, as anything over 35Ct is completely useless, however, does provide illusion of a Pandemic. It is also known, this requirement running PCR at 45Ct was instigated by the German, Christian Drosten, who knows it results in False Positives. Therefore, I would invite your reasons for using 45Ct and also why you are pushing experimental injections on people without any idea of the long-term side effects and exempting providers with immunity, stating it safe whilst still under clinical trials til 2023.

The Health Boards COVID positive threshold for PCR testing is <35 cycles.

Public Health Act 2016 (WA) – Instrument of Authorisation – Authorisation to Supply or Administer a Poison [SARS-COV-2 (COVID-19) VACCINE – Australian Defence Force] (No.2) 2021.

<https://www.wa.gov.au/government/publications/public-health-act-2016-wa-instrument-of-authorisation-authorisation-supply-or-administer-poison-sars-cov-2-covid-19-vaccine-australian-defence-force-no2-2021>

It is also averred and alleged, all involved are either being Blackmailed, Bribed, on the take or financial gains it invites, I myself can't understand why any of you would be willing to risk what ramifications lie ahead for all involved, as the net is surely closing.

With regard to the above question, the Health Board is unable to provide a response. You may wish to submit your question to Public Health Wales via the following link: [Freedom of information - Public Health Wales \(nhs.wales\)](#)